

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,333	11/25/2003	Roderick M. Townes	84712 3050 GNN	3929
20736 7	590 02/06/2006		EXAM	INER
MANELLI D	I DENISON & SELTER WIEHE, NATHANIEL EDWARD			
	2000 M STREET NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3307		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
***************************************	,		3745	

DATE MAILED: 02/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/720,333	TOWNES, RODERICK M.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Nathan Wiehe	3745			
eriod fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exten after 9 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the m d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		,				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	5 November 2003				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
, —	Since this application is in condition for allo	·				
	closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.				
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
-	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.					
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	W. I. Charles and Comment				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.				
Application	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Exam					
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 November 2003</u>	¢				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form PTO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)🛛 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)⊠ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this National Stage			
* \$	application from the International Bulee the attached detailed Office action for a		received			
O	ee the attached detailed office action for a	not of the contined depice her	10001704.			
Attachment	• •					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) 🔯 Inforn	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date <u>11252003</u> .	, <u> </u>	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3745

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Great Britain on 28 November 2002. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the GB 0227745.7 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 25 November 2003 is noted. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the controller causing adjustment of the flow deflector through mechanical adjustment (Claim 10) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "8" and "P" have both been used to designate the blade cooling passage.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,333 Page 3

Art Unit: 3745

The examiner suggest that the applicant change reference character "P" in Fig. 1 to "8" since the specification only refers to the passage by the "8" reference character and since "P" is the only alphabetic character used to reference an element in the drawings.

The drawings are objected to because the line associated with reference character "AB" is missing from Fig. 2.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3745

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 4, line 24, "end 6" should read --end 9--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Although, the Applicant states the deflector's angle of inclination may be adjusted by mechanical linkages (page 6, lines 8-12), the disclosure does not sufficiently describe the use of a flow deflector is mechanically displaced under specific control of a controller. The lack of a figure depicting the controller as claimed as well as the factors of limited space, high rotational speeds, high centrifugal forces and high temperatures associated with such an application further diminishes the ability of one skilled in the art to construct a blade cooling arrangement as claimed.

Art Unit: 3745

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2,3,5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kildea (6,474,946). Kildea discloses a blade cooling arrangement comprising a mounting hub and a blade root (16) forming a cooling gallery (47) there between (See Fig. 6). The blade root (16) includes cooling passages with openings (56). The openings are formed by a plurality of ribs (38) that extend into the cooling gallery (47) thus forming flow deflectors (Kildea column 3, lines 10-14). The deflectors have a curved surface defined by the elliptical section of openings (56). Further, the deflectors provide progressive deflection, through the use of smooth surfaces, of coolant towards the openings, which creates a low loss flow limiting the pressure loss upon entry of the coolant into the opening (Kildea column 3, lines 35-42).

Claims 1,2,3,4,6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Radons (GB 2,225,063 A). Radons discloses a blade cooling arrangement including a mounting hub (7), a blade root (11) and a cooling gallery (10) defined between the mounting hub and blade root. Within the cooling gallery (10) a flow deflector (9) is mounted which extends downwardly from the blade root (11) and upwardly from the mounting hub (7) and is positioned to progressively deflect cooling air toward passages

Art Unit: 3745

(20,12,21) within the blade root (11). The deflector (9) has a wedge/ramp shape and includes curved surfaces near the cooling air inlet portion (8) as well as the upper portions near edges (15). Further, the cooling arrangement of Radons provides low loss flow that includes low pressure loss (Radons page 4, lines 1-7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Radons in view of Hsing (4,626,169). Radons' deflector further seals the cooling gallery (10) separating the cooled air plenum (19) from the rest of the cooling gallery (10). Radons does not disclose the use of thermal expansion and contraction to adjust the flow deflector. Hsing discloses a flow deflector element (66) directing cooling air to passage (54) through opening (78) and also provides a sealing function within the cooling gallery. The deflector (66) includes elements (70,72,82,80) extending downward from the blade root and come into contact with the mounting hub (20). The deflector is formed of a material having a coefficient of expansion grater than the coefficient of expansion of the mounting hub (20) which provides for improved sealing through thermal expansion and constriction of the elements (70,72,82,80) and the mounting hub (20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

Art Unit: 3745

time the invention was made to modify the deflector of Radons by constructing the deflector from a material that would result in differential expansion and contraction relative to the mounting hub as taught by Hsing in order to increase the sealing capabilities of the deflector (Hsing column 6, 37-53).

Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents issued to Balland, Schiavo and Arness disclose various flow deflectors located in a coolant gallery between a mounting hub and a blade root.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan Wiehe whose telephone number is (571)272-8648. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur. and alternate Fri., 7am-4:30pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on (571)272-4820. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,333 Page 8

Art Unit: 3745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nathan Wiehe Examiner Art Unit 3745

EDWARD K. LOOK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

2/3/06